UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,405	01/23/2004	John Chen	1001.1677101	9509
	7590	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLE SUITE 800		HALL, DEANNA K		
	S, MN 55403-2420	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	rief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/764,405	CHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DEANNA K. HALL	3767	

-	DEANNA K. HALL	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origit than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee the action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on Abrief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpilant Amendment (- 10L-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ▼ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) □ will not be entered, or b) ▼ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant argues that Peters does not teach or suggest polyamide polymer and a polyester polymer tie layer wo	the balloon dilation catheter is consulted uld adequately secure the balloon to	tructed from materials the tubular member	<u>s in which a</u> . This argument			
is not persuasive. Peters discloses that alternative materials member and the balloon C3L37-42. Peters goes on to a the material to be bonded together (being that the substance). Thus, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to substance member because a polyester polymer and a polkyamide contemplates differing substrates for the balloon and the whichever materials are being used together.	disclose different adhesives or tie la rates are similar or dissimilar, etc) (stitute the tie layer as taught by Mu e polymer tie layer works best in sec	yers that can be used 28L6-19, 24-30, C7L3 gge to secure a balloc curing certain substrat	depending on 8-41, C9L17-27. on to the tubular es. Peters			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
10. <u> </u>						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Deanna K. Hall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 9/11/09 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090911